Case 4:17-cr-00054-PJH	Document 12 Filed 02/09/17 Page 1 of 1
UNIT NORTH	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION
United States of America,	Case No. OR-17-0054 PH NORTHERN US DISTRICT ONG OAK AND FOLLURY
Plaintiff,) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
MAPIELA SOUS Defendant.	
Trial Act from	ecord on, 2017, the Court excludes time under the Speed, 2017 and finds that the ends of justice served by the e public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § g and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuar See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	nce would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (B)(I).
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable	o complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact to ble to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	nce would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, reise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	nce would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, led case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due 161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ace would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time paration, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (B)(iv).
	e record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § e consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	e record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED:	
	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Sof proflect of
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney